## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

It is asserted that these amendments do not add new matter and are supported by the specification and claims as originally filed. Entry of these claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 25-49 have been rejected.

Claims 25-36 have been canceled.

Claims 41 and 47 have been amended.

Claims 37-40, 42-46, and 47-49 are kept unchanged.

Claims 37-49 are pending in the application.

Obvious typos have been fixed in amended claims 41 and 47

Claims 25-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over any of Takahashi et al. (U.S. 5,536,448) or Morrison et al. (U.S. 6,433,068) or Okumura et al. (U.S. 5,451,632).

The instant claimed invention relates to a process for protecting a rubber composition against UV radiation, comprising the step of adding to said composition a protecting amount against UV radiation of titanium dioxide particles having an average size of at most 80 nm and at least partially coated with a layer of at least one metal oxide, hydroxide or oxohydroxide.

The Examiner agrees that Okumura and Morrison fail to mention the average size of at most 80 nm of the titanium dioxide particle.

Applicants respectfully submit, as already set forth in the previous amendment of September 30, 2003, that the use of such particles in a process for protecting a rubber composition against UV radiation, is neither described, nor suggested by Okumura and

RN98116

Serial number: 09/763,111

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL AND NOTICE OF APPEAL

Morrison. That process is indeed the object of the outstanding pending claims 37-49.

However, the Examiner seems to have been completely silent on that particular point

in his Office Actions of April 01, 2003 and December 30, 2003.

For these reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner now reconsider

and withdraw the rejection of 25-49 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable

over any of Takahashi et al. (U.S. 5,536,448) or Morrison et al. (U.S. 6,433,068) or

Okumura et al. (U.S. 5,451,632).

In view of the preceding remarks, it is asserted that the patent application is in

condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any question concerning these

remarks that would further advance prosecution of the claims to allowance, the

examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned agent at (609) 860-4180. A

 $By_{\underline{}}$ 

notice of allowance is respectfully solicited.

April 28, 2004

Rhodia Inc.

259 Prospect Plains Road

CN7500

Cranbury, NJ 08512

RN98116.Final.Amend

Respectfully submitted,

Jean-Louis SEUGNET

Limited Recognition under 37 CFR §

10.9(b) enclosed.

Tel: (609) 860-4180

Fax: (609) 860-0503



## BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ENROLLMENT AND DISCIPLINE UNITED STATE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## LIMITED RECOGNITION UNDER 37 CFR § 10.9(b)

Jean-Louis Seugnet is hereby given limited recognition under 37 CFR § 10.9(b), as an employee of RHODIA, INC., to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the assignee of record of the entire interest is RHODIA, INC. This limited recognition shall expire on the date appearing below, or when whichever of the following events first occurs prior to the date appearing below: (i) Jean-Louis Seugnet ceases to lawfully reside in the United States, (ii) Jean-Louis Seugnet's employment with RHODIA, INC. ceases or is terminated, or (iii) Jean-Louis Seugnet ceases to remain or reside in the United States on an L-1B visa.

This document constitutes proof of such limited recognition. The original of this document is on file in the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Expires: November 19, 2004

Harry I. Moatz

Director of Enrollment and Discipline